

REMARKS

Claims 1-15 are presently pending. Claims 1-14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Baker. Claim 15 is added.

Assignee appreciates Examiner's suggestions on page 3 of the office action "to clarify how the first and second number of lines, as represented by the first and second parameters are determined and subsequently used in saving processor cycles by helping to avoid redundant calculations when repeatedly displaying an image line, as described in 0026-28".

Assignee has amended claim 1 to recites, among other limitations, "wherein the first parameter is determined by setting the first parameter to a line number associated with a desired line for repeating", "wherein the second parameter is determined by setting the second parameter to a number of lines to be displayed" and "from memory addresses, wherein the last of the first number of lines is provided from the same memory addresses during each repetition". Claims 4 and 9 are amended to recite, among other limitations, "wherein the first parameter is determined by setting the first parameter to a line number associated with a desired line for repeating and wherein the second parameter is determined by setting the second parameter to a number of lines to be displayed; and wherein the feeder repeatedly fetches the desired line for repeating from the same memory addresses during each repetition".

Assignee respectfully submits that Baker does not teach the foregoing and requests that Examiner withdraw the rejection to claims 1, 4, and 9, and their dependent claims.

Additionally, claim 15 is added and recites, among other limitations, "wherein the first number and the second number indicate a number of luma lines." Although Examiner has indicated that Baker teaches "indicating that the picture comprises a second number of lines ... where Baker's 'luminance components' contribute to the ... (RLE)", Office Action at 4, Baker does not disclose "the second number indicate a number of luma lines." Accordingly, allowance is requested for claim 15.

CONCLUSION

For at least the foregoing reasons, Assignee submits that each of the pending claims are now in a condition for allowance. Accordingly, Examiner is requested to pass this case to issuance.

It is believed that all monies for the actions described herein are provided with this correspondence. To the extent that additional monies are required for any of the actions requested in the correspondence, Commissioner is authorized to charge such fees and credit any overpayments to deposit account 13-0017.

Respectfully Submitted



Mirut Dalal
Attorney for Assignee
Reg. No. 44,052

August 28, 2008

McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd.
500 West Madison – Suite 3400
Chicago, IL 60661

Phone (312) 775-8000
FAX (312) 775-8100